

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,328	03/30/2004	Masayuki lijima	NIS-15441	5100
40854 73	590 07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK LLP			PAPE, ZACHARY	
4080 ERIE STI	REET Y, OH 44094-7836		NIS-15441 5100  EXAMINER  PAPE, ZACHARY	PAPER NUMBER
WILLOOGIID	1, 011 44024-7050		2835	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i></i> /\
	10/813,328	IIJIMA ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachary M. Pape	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commodate of the commo	munication:
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 March 2004.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applic	cation.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			,
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO	)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li> </ul>	uments have been received. uments have been received in A	pplication No	tage
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		<del></del> /

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-7, drawn to Electronic Cooling Apparatus, classified in class 361, subclass 699.
  - II. Claims 8-17, drawn to a Motor-driven Pump, classified in class 417, subclass 423.7.
  - III. Claims 18-21, drawn to a Radiator, classified in class 165, subclass 104.33.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of subcombination (Invention II) as claimed at least in part because the subcombination recites details of the pump (rotor, bearing, stator, for example) not required by the combination (Invention I). Likewise the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of subcombination (Invention III) as claimed at least in part because the subcombination with respect to the Radiator recites, "two liquid tanks". The subcombination (Invention II) has separate utility such as pumping fuel from the

ground to a vehicle. Likewise, the subcombination (Invention III) has separate utility such as a being used in a system to cool mechanically heated parts.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to David Spaw (#34,732) on 7/14/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary M. Pape whose telephone number is 571-272-2201. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thur. & every other Fri. (8:00am - 5:00pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,328

Art Unit: 2835

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached at 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**ZMP** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800